İ			
1	CHRISTOPHER A. NEDEAU (SBN 81297) CARL L. BLUMENSTEIN (SBN 124158)		
2	NOSSAMAN LLP		
3	50 California Street, 34th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4624		
4	Telephone: 415.398.3600		
5	Facsimile: 415.398.2438 cnedeau@nossaman.com		
6	cblumenstein@nossaman.com		
7	jnickovich@nossaman.com		
8	Attorneys for Defendants AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION and		
9	AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION AMERICA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12	In re:		
13			
14	TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No. 3:07-MD-1827 SI	
15			
16	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY,	Case No. CV11-4116 EDL	
17	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION OF EXTENSION OF	
18	vs.	TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT,	
19	AU OPTRONICS CORPORATION, et al.,	WAIVER OF SERVICE AND [FROPOSED] ORDER	
20			
21	Defendants.		
22			
23	WHEREAS, plaintiff Hewlett-Packard Company ("HP") filed under seal its complaint in		
24	the above-captioned case against AU Optronics Corporation and AU Optronics Corporation		
25	America (collectively "AUO"), and Quanta Display Inc. on August 19, 2011 ("Complaint");		
26	WHEREAS, HP wishes to avoid the burden and expense of serving process on AUO;		
27	WHEREAS, AUO desires a reasonable amount of time to respond to the Complaint; and		
28		CASE NO. CV-11-4116 EDL	
·	SF_IMAN_262238_1.DOC	CASE NO. 3:07-md-1827 SI CASE NO. 3:07-md-1827 SI SPOND TO COMPLAINT, WAIVER OF SERVICE AND	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER		

1	WHEREAS, AUO and HP believe that proceeding on a unified response date will create	
2	efficiency for the Court and the parties.	
3	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the	
.4	undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, HP on the one hand, and the AUO on	
5	the other hand, as follows:	
6	1. AUO waives service of the Complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(d).	
7	This stipulation does not constitute a waiver by AUO of any other substantive or procedural	
8	defense, including but not limited to, the defenses of lack of personal or subject matter	
9	jurisdiction and improper venue.	
10	2. AUO's deadline to move to dismiss, answer, or otherwise respond to the	
11	Complaint will be ninety (90) days from the execution of this stipulation subject to Federal Rule	
12	of Civil Procedure 6(a)(1).	
13	NOSSAMAN LLP,	
14	DATED: October 24, 2011 By Chicken 19	
15	Christopher A. Nedeau Carl L. Blumenstein	
16	Attorney for Defendants AU Optronics Corporation and	
17	AU Optronics Corporation America	
18		
19	CROWELL & MORING LLP	
20	DATED: October 21, 2011 By But N. Mary D.	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
22	Hewlett-Packard Company	
23	THE LC CO ON DEPEND	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	10/26/11	
26	Dated: The Honorable Susan Illston	
27	United States District Judge	
28		
- 11	CASE NO. CV-11-4116 EDL	

SF_IMAN_262238_1.DOC 2
STIPULATION OF EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT, WAIVER OF SERVICE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

CASE NO. 3:07-md-1827 SI